KILE

DCI/ICS 82-1403 11 February 1982

MEM	IOR	AN	DUM	F0	R:
-----	-----	----	-----	----	----

Col. Thomas J. Hogan

Army COMIREX Member

ATTENTION:

James Fay

Army CAMS II Point of Contact

VIA:

Chairman, COMIREX, O/ICE

FROM

Chief, CAMS II Staff, O/ICE

SUBJECT:

UTM Coordinate Conversion in CAMS II Processing Segment (P/S)

- In response to your request that the CAMS II P/S provide for accepting UTM coordinates in lieu of geographic coordinates as a method defining target location, the O/ICE Integration Team approved the submittal of a Request for Change (RFC) to the P/S ACCB (A Division Configuration Control Board). The P/S Development Contractor reviewed the RFC and subsequently provided an impact assessment for incorporating that capability into the appropriate Point Target (PT), Line of Communication (LOC), and Directed Search Area (DSA) target menus.
- The Integration Team reviewed the Impact Assessment along with certain other aspects relative to this capability including:
 - The low volume use of this capability under normal circumstances.
 - The existing, but separate, capability provided by CAMS to translate UTM coordinates to geographic coordinates.
 - The potentially detrimental effect for other Community members due to cluttering effect of these additional parameters on the target menus.
 - The fact that, during crisis periods of indicated higher use, the Army including its elements in CONUS would specify imagery requirements via DIA/AIRES rather than CAMS.

-	The cost			and	schedule	impact	of	incorporating	this
	capabilit	y in	the P/S.	_					

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

CIA-RDP88B00838R000300540004-1 Approved For Release 2007/08/04:

SUBJECT: UTM Coordinate Conversion in CAMS II Processing Equipment

After reviewing these factors, the Integration Team decided not to support the incorporating of this $\ensuremath{\mathsf{RFC}}$.

								believe contact
me.						•		

25X1

